

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/467,965	12/21/1999	JEA YONG YOO	2950-0149P	3040
7590 10/13/2005			EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			TRAN, THAI Q	
P O BOX 747				DADED AND OFF
FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/12/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/467,965	YOO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thai Tran	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 July 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 1999 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re pages 8-11, applicants argue that Saeki fails to teach using an index number of a first stream object unit f each stream object for pointing to the start position of each stream object as recited in amended independent claims 1, 6, 10, 15, and 18-20 because Saeki discloses a mechanism to search the data in the disc by using the relationships between the times and the storage positions of the VOBUs in the VOB information (the time map information) to convert the start time and end time of the cells in the PGC information to the start address and the end address (see also Step 284 of FIG. 26; col. 19, lines 30-33) and, unlike Saeki's complicated conversion or mapping from the times to the addresses, the present invention simply uses an index number of a first stream object unit of each stream object to obtain the start position of the stream object.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. As recognized by applicants that Saeki discloses a mechanism to search the data in the disc by using the relationships between the times and the storage positions of the VOBUs in the VOB information (the time map information) to convert the start time and end time of the cells in the PGC information to the start address and the end address (see also Step 284 of FIG> 26; col. 19, lines 30-33). It is noted that independent claims 1, 6, 0, 15, and 18-20 recite an index number of a first stream object unit of each stream object for pointing to

the start position of each stream object. It is noted the "start time" of Saeki is anticipated the claimed "an index number" because the "start time" is used to search the VOB in the disc and has at least one number, such as hour, minute, and second. Therefore, Saeki does indeed discloses the claimed "an index number".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 14-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saeki et al (6,078,727) as set forth in the last Office Action.

Regarding claim 1, Saeki et al discloses recording a received digital data stream by grouping the received digital data stream into stream object units, with each stream object unit having a predetermined length (fig. 7; VOBs are considered to be stream objects because the data in the VOBs is used to create an MPEG stream); creating and recording time information for each stream object unit, the time information being used to search for the stream object units (fig. 11, col. 17, line 45 – col. 18, line 30); and creating and recording an index number of a first stream object unit of each stream object for pointing to the start position of each stream object (PGC information and the VOB information disclosed in col. 9, lines 19-30 and in col. 19, lines 18-38).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 2, Saeki et al discloses that the time information is the length of each stream object unit, expressed in terms of a count value counted at a constant internal (col. 9, line 42 – col. 10, line 52).

Regarding claims 3 and 7, Saeki et al discloses that the count value is a number incremented by 1 every the constant interval (fig. 9). For example, each time map is separated according to the TMU or the constant interval, and it is clearly shown that the time map numbers are incremented by 1.

Regarding claims 4 and 8, Saeki et al discloses that the index information is in the order of the time information of a time information entry related to each stream object (fig. 9, col. 17, line 57 – col. 19, line 5).

Regarding claim 5 and 9, Saeki et al discloses that the index number is the order on the time information of a time number entry corresponding to the first stream object unit of each stream object (fig. 9).

Regarding claim 6, Saeki et al discloses recording time information on the count value counted at a constant interval (TMU) for each stream object unit (fig. 9), with each stream object unit consisting of transport streams (because the VOBs contain data used to produce a MPEG stream, which can be transported, the data is considered to be transport streams); and recording index number of a first stream object unit of each stream object for pointing to the start position of each stream object (PGC information and the VOB information disclosed in col. 9, lines 19-30 and in col. 19, lines 18-38).

Regarding claim 10, Saeki et al discloses reading search time information for stream object units (fig. 9), each stream object unit consisting of a plurality of digital

transport streams (fig. 10) and the search time information being the length of each stream object unit, expressed in terms of a count value counted at a constant interval (col. 9, line 42 – col. 10, line 52); detecting a stream object containing a requested search time by comparing a requested search time with start time information of each stream object consisting of a predetermined number of stream objects, the start time information having been recorded for accessing the stream objects (col. 19, lines 30-38); reading an index number of a first stream object unit of each stream object pointing to the start position of each stream object (PGC information and the VOB information disclosed in col. 9, lines 19-30 and in col. 19, lines 18-38); and accessing a time information entry corresponding to the read index number (fig. 9).

The limitations of claim 14 were discussed in the art rejection of claim 8. Please refer to the art rejection of claim 8.

Regarding claim 15, Saeki et al discloses a recording means (fig. 15) for recording a received digital data stream by grouping the received digital data stream into stream object unit (fig. 7) and for creating and recording time information for each stream object unit for searching for the recorded stream object units (fig. 9), with each stream object unit having a predetermined length (col. 9, line 42 – col. 10, line 52); and control means for creating an index number of a first stream object unit of each stream object for pointing to the start position of each stream object and controlling said recording means to record said index number (PGC information and the VOB information disclosed in col. 9, lines 19-30 and in col. 19, lines 18-38).

The limitations of claim 16 were discussed in the art rejection of claim 2. Please refer to the art rejection of claim 2.

The limitations of claim 17 were discussed in the art rejection of claim 8. Please refer to the art rejection of claim 8.

Regarding claim 19, Saeki et al discloses a data formatter (2, fig. 15) to group a received digital data stream into stream object units (fig. 7) and to create time information for each stream object unit for searching the stream object unit individually (fig. 9), wherein each stream object unit has a predetermined length (col. 9, line 42 – col. 10, line 52); a data recorder to record the digital data stream grouped by and the time information created by the data formatter (fig. 15); and a controller to create an index number of a first stream object unit f each stream object for pointing to the start position of each stream object and to control said data recorder to record the created index number (PGC information and the VOB information disclosed in col. 9, lines 19-30 and in col. 19, lines 18-38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11-13, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saeki et al in view of Moriyama et al (6,006,004) as stated in the last Office Action.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 11-13, Saeki et al does not disclose accumulating search time from the accessed time information entry to a time information entry corresponding to the stream object unit containing the requested search time; comparing the accumulated search time with the requested search time and determines the position corresponding to the requested search time based on the comparison result; and reproducing the recorded digital data stream from the determined position.

Moriyama et al teaches comparing time elapsed information with the requested search time and determines the position corresponding to the requested search time based on the comparison result; and reproducing the recorded digital data stream from the determined position (col. 27, line 20 – col. 28, line 42). The time elapse information of Moriyama et al represents the elapsed time. To obtain equivalent information using the time map tables of Saeki et al time must accumulated from the accessed time information entry to a time entry corresponding to the requested search time because the time map tables do not indicate elapsed time.

It would have been highly desirable to accumulate search time; compare the time to the requested search time; and reproduce from the determined position so that the user can perform a time search operation, thereby allowing a user to jump to specific times in the video data.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to perform the above described steps in the device of Saeki et al.

Regarding claim 18, Saeki et al discloses reading means (fig. 15) for reading search time information (fig. 9) for stream object units, each stream object unit

Art Unit: 2616

consisting of a plurality of digital transport stream (fig. 10) and the search time information being the length of each stream object unit expressed in terms of a count value counted at a constant interval (col. 9, line 42 – col. 10, line 52); and that the information on the start time of each stream object has been recorded for accessing stream objects (PGC information and the VOB information disclosed in col. 9, lines 19-30 and in col. 19, lines 18-38 and col. 18, line 14 – col. 19, line 5). However, Saeki et al does not disclose comparing a requested search time with the start time and controlling the reading means to reproduce according to the detected address.

Moriyama et al teaches a controlling means for detecting a stream object containing a requested search time by comparing the requested search time with the start time of each stream object consisting of one or more stream object units, and moving the data reproducing position of the reading means to access a time information entry corresponding to the read index information (col. 27, line 20 – col. 28, line 42). Since the time map table of Saeki et al indicate the address of stream objects in relation to time information, controlling the reading means to read the index information pointing to the location on the search time information for the start position of the detected stream object would have to be done.

It would have been highly desirable to have a controlling means so that the time information can be used to perform a time search operation, thereby allowing a user to jump to specific times in the video data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a controlling means in the device of Saeki et al.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 20, Saeki et al discloses a pickup (fig. 15) to read recorded stream object units (fig. 7) and search time information for the stream object units (fig. 9), each stream object unit consisting of a plurality of digital transport streams (fig. 10) and the search time information being the length of each stream object unit expressed in terms of a count value counted at a constant interval (col. 9, line 42 - col. 10, line 52), wherein information on the start time of each stream object has been recorded for accessing stream objects (col. 18, line 14 – col. 19, line 5) and a controller to control said pickup to read index number of a first stream object unit of each stream object read by said pickup pointing to the start position of each stream object, the first stream object unit of each stream object read by said pickup being for the start position of the stream object (PGC information and the VOB information disclosed in col. 9, lines 19-30 and in col. 19, lines 18-38). However, Saeki et al does not disclose a data analyzer to detect a stream object read by said pickup containing a requested search time by comparing the requested search time with start time of each stream object consisting of one or more stream object units and that the controller moves the data reproducing position of said pickup to access a time information entry corresponding to the index number read by said pickup, wherein information on the start time of each stream object has been recorded for accessing stream objects.

Moriyama et al teaches a data analyzer to detect a stream object read by the pickup containing a requested search time by comparing the requested search time with start time of each stream object consisting of one or more stream object units; and to move the data reproducing position of the pickup to access a time information entry

corresponding to the index information read by the pickup (col. 27, line 20 – col. 28, line 42). Since the time map of Saeki et al indicates the position in relation to time information, a controller would have to control the pickup to read the index information pointing to the location on the search time information read by the pickup for the start position of the stream object detected by the data analyzer.

It would have been highly desirable to have a data analyzer and a control so that the time search operation could be performed, thereby allowing a user to jump to specific times in the video data.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a data analyzer and a controller in the device of Seki et al.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4725. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTQ